## Application to the Chesapeake Bay Board

Water Quality Impact Assessment

Pursuant to §23.2-13 and 23.2-16, York County Chesapeake Bay Preservation Areas Ordinance

	Date: 12 01 09	
	CB: 200 <u>9</u> - <u>293</u>	
1.	Applicant: John and Cheryl Connell	
	Address: 104 Martin Circle Yorktown, VA 23692	
	Phone Number: (H) 234-6138 (Cell) 846-3666 (work) 864-4264	
2.	Property Owner (if different from applicant):	
	Address:	
	Phone Number:	
3	Property Address: 104 Marlin Circle Yorktown, UA 23692	<u> </u>
4.	Parcel Identification (GPIN): T07a-1770-4137	
ATT	ACH the following information:	
A)	buildings, driveways, decks, sidewalks, etc.  Location of on-site sewage disposal system (if applicable)  Location of shoreline, wetlands, stream and Resource Protection Area boundary  Location and dimensions of proposed additions or alterations  Area of land disturbance, clearing, grading and fill  Erosion and Sediment Control measures  Location and dimensions of BMP and supporting calculations  Location of permanent signs and temporary construction fencing  Location, type and size of existing trees and vegetation in RPA  Designation of trees to remain and trees to be removed in RPA  Buffer restoration and replacement planting location and detail  Executed BMP Maintenance Agreement  Any additional supporting information	ENVIRONMENTAL & EVELOPMENT SERVICES
5.	Appeal to Staff Decision? Order? Requirement?	
6.	For appeals, provide section reference & description: (attach additional paper if necessary)	
7.	Exception Request? Yes No, provide section reference 23.2-9310	)
8	Was this lot/parcel created prior to October 1, 1989? X Yes No	
9.	Is this lot/parcel impacted by a perennial stream determination? Yes _X_ No	

20.	•	ets on Existing Vegetation:
	<u>1.</u> a. O b.	Number of trees greater than 3" diameter removed within 50-feet of waters/wetlands Number of trees greater than 6" diameter removed between 50-feet and 100-feet of water/wetlands
	<b>O</b> c.	Approximate square footage of understory/brush/leaves/needles removed
	<b>b</b> d.	Number of trees to be heavily pruned
	<u>Ø</u> e.	Number of dead or diseased trees removed
	f.	Other:
21.	Propo	sed Methods to Minimize Site Impacts:
	$X_a$ .	Tree protection/barriers
	b.	Limit construction activity to flat areas of site
	<u></u> ≱c.	Single construction/access way
	<u>X</u> d. <u>X</u> e.	Stockpile construction materials and debris on hard surfaces
	<u>X</u> e.	Barriers to define limits of construction activity/land disturbance
	<b>X</b> f.	New structure situated on lot so as to minimize the encroachment into the RPA
	g.	Any other methods? Please describe:
	_	
22.	Propo	sed Mitigation Measures:
	<b>½</b> a.	Best Management Practices (BMP) utilized:  Infiltration planting bed (mulch bed BMP)  Square footage of mulch beds  Number of new trees proposed, (sq. ft. mulch / 100) x 1  Number of new shrubs proposed, (sq. ft. mulch / 100) x 3  Dry well Infiltration Trench / French Drain (Attach Detail)  Grass swale with check dams  Other
	<b>火</b> b.	Buffer Restoration and Replacement Vegetation:
		Trees 1 colors ment tree is required
		X Shrubs 1" DBH
	<b>火</b> c.	Erosion and sediment control structures utilized.
	•	X Silt fence
		Construction entrance
		Sand and gravel under deck treatment
		Other:
23.	Please	e describe the steps to be taken for project construction including any protection and
		ation measures proposed along with the Construction Sequence of the project: (Example:
	obtair	permits, install silt fence and tree protection, build house, plant new trees, etc.)
	06	ain boilding permits, Install silt terre, premove carport,
	(~~	struct garage, install new landscaping shrubs,
	<u>~</u>	Sivest gorge, The art were to the supprise strong

10.	In what flood zone is this lot located? X500 and AE  If this property or any portion of the property is Flood Zones A, AE, V or VE, please consult the Building Regulations Division (890-3522) for Construction Requirements in a Flood Zone.
11.	Does County sanitary sewer serve this lot or is an on-site wastewater disposal system necessary? County sanitary saved by an on-site wastewater disposal system, please consult the Health Department.
12.	Description of the Proposed Project: Convert existing detached courset to 3 car garage, remove barn same or less impervious  Area of lot above water/wetlands in square feet (sq. ft.): ~73,000 sq.ft.
	3 car garage, remove born same or less impervious
13.	Area of lot above water/wetlands in square feet (sq. ft.): ~73,000 sq. ff.
14.	Area of impervious surfaces: sidewalk, driveway, building footprint, deck, pool, patio, etc.:
	In RPA (sq. ft.): 27.34 mD In RMA (sq. ft.): 5684 mp proposed  Total Area (sq. ft.): 8418 mD  8442
	In RMA (sq. ft.): \ 5684 MP Prop 5684 decrease
	Total Area (sq. ft.): 8418 mb 8442
15.	Percentage of impervious cover: divide #14 (Total Area) by #13, multiply by 100: 12 %
Pleas	e check all that apply or supply quantities for the following:
16.	Project Location:  a. Resource Management Area (RMA)  b. Resource Protection Area (RPA)  Landward 50-feet of Buffer Area  Seaward 50-feet of Buffer Area
17.	Will the project require any of the following?  ★ a. Excavation b. Filling ★ c. Grading
18.	Materials to be used to cover land surface:  a. Decking
19.	Existing Site Conditions:  a. Heavily Wooded h. Understory/brush b. Sparsely Wooded i. Leaves/needles c. No Trees j. Bare Soil d. Marsh/Swamp k. Eroding soils/shoreline e. Stream Yl. Waterfront Property f. Ravine or Ditch m. Paved g. Grass/Lawn Nother: Concrete surface

RPA	Exception	or	Appeal	Application	a
Page	4 of 4				

## Revised 6/2009

agencies in conjunction with this project? Yes No If yes, please describe:			
Can you avoid locating the project in the Resource Protection Area (Within 100-feet of the water/wetlands)? Yes No			
Is there suitable area on the site to do so? Yes \( \sum_No			
27. Describe why this project or appeal deserves special consideration:			
alternative locations are in front of home or would require			
driveway extension, removes another existing structure in RPA			
alternative locations are in front of house or would require driveway extension, removes another existing structure in RPA no net change in impervous surface			

Exceptions to the CBPA requirements may be granted by the Board provided:

(1) The requested exception is the minimum necessary to afford relief:

(2) Granting the exception will not confer upon the applicant any special privileges that are denied to other property owners who are subject to these provisions and similarly situated;

(3) The exception is in harmony with the purpose and intent of the CBPA Act and is not of substantial detriment to water quality;

(4) The exception request is not based upon conditions or circumstances that are self-created or self-imposed;

(5) Reasonable and appropriate conditions are imposed, as warranted, that will prevent the allowed activity from causing a degradation of water quality; and

(6) Other findings, as recommended by the CBPA Manager and deemed appropriate by the board, are met.

In rendering its decision for Appeals, the Board shall:

- (1) Examine the language of this chapter to determine whether the language is clear or is subject to more than one interpretation;
- (2) If, in the opinion of the board, the language is clear, the board will require the applicant to show that his case is not within the intent of the regulation. In these cases, the board will assume that the administrative decision is correct and the applicant will bear the burden of proof;

(3) If the language of this chapter is unclear, the board will inquire as to whether the decision made by the official involved is consistent with previous administrative determinations in similar situations;

- (4) If the administrative decision is consistent with prior decisions, the applicant will prevail only if the administrative decision is not within the intent and purpose of the ordinance and, therefore, so arbitrary or unreasonable that the board must substitute its own interpretation and overturn the administrative decision. If the administrative decision is both consistent and reasonable, the board will uphold it;
- (5) If the administrative decision is inconsistent with prior decisions, the Board will carefully examine all factors involved to ensure that the appearance of an arbitrary decision is overcome by a legitimate attempt to further the intent and purpose of this chapter.